

United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/926,835	09/10/1997	HANS-PETER JALETT	PH/58-19848/	7598
7	590 11/21/2003		EXAMI	NER
Michael W. C		KUMAR, SHAILENDRA		
564 MORRIS	ROP PROTECTION, IN AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUMMIT, NJ	07901		1621	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	08/926,835	JALETT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SHAILENDRA - KUMAR	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a i - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29) April 2003.						
,	nis action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed.	wance except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		Tvaminar					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure 	ents have been received in Application in the contraction in the contract of t						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	ist of the certified copies not receive estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a first sentence of the specification or	e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.					
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of 	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \[\begin{align*} \langle Inter-in-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-to-	(DTO 442) Pages No (e)					
1) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/926,835

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed in paper # 21, on 4/29/03. Applicants have submitted amendments to the claims subsequent to the Board's decision of enforcing new ground of rejection under the provision of 37 CFR 1.196(b).

The amendments to the claims have been entered and the prosecution is reopened.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-57 of U. S. Patent No. 5,859,300 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: all the limitations as claimed in herein are taught for the process of hydrogenating imine in the above patent, including addition of acid. The

Art Unit: 1621

sole difference appears to be solid acid in the patent as against no such distinction claimed in herein. However, acid is acid regardless its state, and hence is obvious.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

US 5,886,225 is further cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA - KUMAR whose telephone number is 703-308-4519. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

> SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 11/18/03